

**Waterways Management Committee  
Social Impacts Workgroup  
Survey Results**

Prepared by Eleanor Mariani, Chair Waterways Management Committee, March 2001

## **Introduction**

The Social Impacts Workgroup was tasked with determining the most common social issues that are challenging State Boating Law Administrators and to provide them with some of the tools that are being successfully used nationwide to resolve issues. As notable, are tools that have failed to mitigate waterways management efforts. The Workgroup chose to initiate a survey in an attempt to quantify what are typically considered qualitative issues of social impacts in the boating community and techniques used to mitigate such impacts.

## **Methods**

A survey form (Attachment 1) was mailed out in March, 2000 to all State Boating Law Administrators (BLAs). The form was in a grid format with suggested techniques along the x-axis (from left to right) and potential social issues along the y-axis (listed from top to bottom of the page). Twenty-three (23) issues were presented and eighteen (18) techniques were suggested, allowing additional space for write in issues or techniques. Administrators were first asked to rank all issues with a 4 for very important, 3 equating to important, 2, relatively unimportant, 1, unimportant; 0, not an issue. Secondly, they were asked to rank the techniques used to solve each issue by using 4 to signify that a technique was very important to resolve an issue; 3, equating to important; 2, relatively unimportant; 1, unimportant; and 0, indicating that the technique was unsuccessful in resolving a particular issue. Finally, BLAs were asked to address whether the listed issues were regulated by state policy or Federal initiative.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Survey Response**

Fifty-one percent (51%) of the 57 states and territories surveyed responded (29 responses returned out of 57 surveyed). More specifically, there was 55% participation from the Northern Region of NASBLA, 45% from the Southern Region and 53% participation from the Western Region.

### **Issues**

Twenty-seven (27) issues were prioritized by NASBLA Region based on response totals. Following are the top ten issues for the Regions.

<b>NORTHERN</b>	<b>SOUTHERN</b>	<b>WESTERN</b>
Operator Behavior	Alcohol Consumption	Operator Behavior
Noise	Operator Behavior	Alcohol Consumption
User Conflicts	Speed	User Conflicts
Lack of Law Enforcement	Congestion	Congestion
Alcohol Consumption	Proximity	Lack of Law Enforcement
Speed	Wake	Overcrowded Conditions
Wake	Overcrowded Conditions	Wake
Aquatic Nuisance Species	Age of Operator	Proximity*
Congestion	User Conflicts	Speed*
Proximity	Litter	Amenities at Boat Launches*

Ties within the Region were broken by listing the issue that received more “very important” rankings first. Those that could not be broken were listed with an asterisk (\*)

When issues were looked at nationally, the following priorities resulted.

<b>COMBINED REGIONS</b>
Operator Behavior
Alcohol Consumption
Speed
User Conflicts
Congestion
Wake
Proximity
Lack of Law Enforcement
Overcrowded Conditions
Noise

Two Northern and one Western state reported “Reckless Operation of Jet Skis” under “other”. Several Southern states listed “Lake User vs. Lake Resident” under the “other” category. The same Southern states listed “Bass Tournaments, User Fees” and “Special Restrictions on Certain Lakes” as important issues.

### **Techniques to Address Issues**

Results from the “very important” and “important” categories were merged because the numbers from the individual categories were too low to make meaningful differentiation for ranking. The following table lists the top three techniques utilized by states to resolve the issue in question. More than one technique listed under priority indicates a tie.

**Techniques Generally Used**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>Technique 1</b>	<b>Technique 2</b>	<b>Technique 3</b>
<b>Amenities at Boat Launches</b>	Stakeholders Groups	Public Hearings	Informational Meetings
<b>Aquatic Nuisance Species</b>	Targeted Education	Informational Meetings	Stakeholder Groups
<b>Boat Engine Horsepower Issues</b>	HP Restrictions	Speed Restrictions	Bans on Watercraft/ Types of Engines
<b>Erosion</b>	Wake Restrictions	Speed Restrictions	Exclusion Zones
<b>Harassing Wildlife</b>	Targeted Education	Exclusion Zones	Increased LE/Bans on Watercraft
<b>Lack of Law Enf.</b>	Increase Law Enf.	Coop. with local Police Depts.	Pay Law Enf. Overtime
<b>Method of Propulsion</b>	Bans on Watercraft/ Engine Types, Propulsion Restrictions, Speed Restrictions	Targeted Ed, Recreational Use Zones	Horsepower Restrictions
<b>Noise</b>	Increase Law Enf.	Targeted Education	Quiet Hours/ Restricted Speed Hours, Bans on Watercraft /engines
<b># Parking Spaces at Boat Launches</b>	Stakeholder Groups	Coop. with Local Police Dept., Informational Mtgs.	Public Hearings, Boat Launch Closure
<b>Operator Behavior</b>	Increase Law Enf.	Targeted Education	Speed Restrictions, Coop. with local PD
<b>Overcrowded Conditions</b>	Increase Law Enf.	Speed Restrictions	Targeted Education, Exclusion Zones, Usage Zones/Times
<b>Boat Sewage</b>	Targeted Education	Public Hearings, Informational Mtgs.	Stakeholder Groups
<b>Litter</b>	Targeted Ed.	Increased LE	Stakeholder Groups
<b>Petroleum Releases</b>	Targeted Ed.	Bans on Watercraft/ Engine Types	Exclusion Zones
<b>MTBE</b>	Bans on Watercraft/ Engine Types	Method of Propulsion, Targeted Education	Exclusion Zones, Info. Meetings, Public Hearings, Stakeholder Groups
<b>Age of Operator</b>	Increase LE	Public Hearings	Coop. with PD, HP Restrictions, Stakeholder Groups, Targeted Education

<b>Alcohol Consumption</b>	Targeted Education	Increased LE	Informational Mtgs.
<b>Congestion</b>	Increased LE	Targeted Education	Coop. with Local PD
<b>Proximity</b>	Increased LE	Targeted Ed	Wake Restrictions
<b>Speed</b>	Increased LE	Targeted Education	Speed Restrictions, Wake Restrictions
<b>Wake</b>	Increased LE, Targeted Education	Wake Restriction	Speed Restrictions, Coop. with Local PD
<b>User Conflicts</b>	Increased LE	Bans on Watercraft/ Engine Types, Speed Restrictions, Targeted Education	Public Hearings, Informational Mtgs, Coop. with Local PD, Usage Zones/Times

The following techniques, ranked irrespective of issue, are considered very important for resolving issues.

### Techniques Deemed “Very Important” for Resolving Issues

- Increased Law Enforcement
- Targeted Education
- Cooperation with Local Police Depts. For Patrols
- Wake Restrictions
- Speed Restrictions
- Ban on Watercraft/Types of Engines
- Exclusion Zones
- Recreational Usage Zones/Times
- Pay Law Enforcement Overtime for Specific Events
- Informed Consent

When the “Very Important” designation was combined with those techniques deemed “Important” in resolving issues, there was a slight shift in the ranking.

### Techniques Deemed “Very Important” and “Important” for Resolving Issues

- Targeted Education
- Increased Law Enforcement
- Cooperation with Local Police Depts. For Patrols
- Speed Restrictions
- Stakeholders Groups
- Informational Meetings
- Wake Restrictions
- Public Hearings
- Ban on Watercraft/Types of Engines
- Exclusion Zones

States listed: surveys, advisory committees, news releases, publications, erosion control devices (structural and non-structural), funding, pumpout facilities, cleaning facilities and public informational materials as very important or important in resolving particular issues.

The results for “Unimportant” will not be presented, as many states did not use designation so numbers were very low.

Several states indicated that some of the techniques were tried and shown to be “Unsuccessful”. These are presented for informational purposes, as rankings could not be logically obtained. The number in the grid indicates the number of states that listed that a particular technique as “Unsuccessful” in resolving a particular issue.

	UNSUCCESSFUL																				
Directions: RANK: 4 = very important 3 = important 2 = relatively unimportant 1 = unimportant 0 = not an issue PLEASE ADDRESS ALL ISSUES.  TECHNIQUES: 4 = very important 3 = important 2 = relatively unimportant 1 = unimportant 0 = unsuccessful PLEASE ADDRESS ALL TECHNIQUES	bans on watercraft/types of engines	boat launch closure	cooperation with local police dept. for patrons	exclusion zones	horsepower restrictions	increased law enforcement	informational meetings	informed consent	method of propulsion restriction	pay Law Enforcement overtime for specific events	public hearings	quiet hours/restricted speed hours	recreational usage zones/times	regatta event permits	stakeholders groups	speed restriction	targeted education (may include operator etiquette)	wake restriction	other	other (Y/N)	
<b>Issues:</b>	1	2			1				1												
amenities at boat launches	2	3	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
aquatic nuisance species	1	2	1	2	2	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
boat engine horsepower issues		2	2				1	1	2	1	1				1	1					
erosion		1	1				1		1	1	1	1		1	1						
harassing of wildlife		1	2						1	1	1	1		1	1	1					
lack of Law Enforcement	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1			
method of propulsion			1		1	1			1	1	1	1						1	1		
noise					1				2	1	1	1		1							
# of parking spaces at boat launches		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1					1		
operator behavior							1	1	1	1	1		1								
overcrowded conditions	1	2	1		1	1	1			1	1			1							
<b>pollution:</b>																					
boat sewage			1		1				1	1				1		1	1	1			
litter		1		1	1				1	1	1	1				1	1	1			
petroleum releases from engines		1	1							1	1							1			
MtBE		1	1				1	1		1	1										
other																					
<b>safety issues:</b>																					
age of operator		1	1	1				1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1			
alcohol consumption			1							1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1			
congestion		1	2	1						1						1					
proximity			1	1				1	1	1											
speed			1	1		1				1	1										
wake			1	1	1					1											
other																					

Some states utilize policy to resolve boating issues. The following table shows the number of responding states that use policy and/or Federal law to resolve issues.

	<b>State Policy</b>	<b>Fed. Law</b>
<b>Issues</b>		
amenities at boat launches		
aquatic nuisance species	4	2
boat engine horsepower issues	4	1
erosion		
harassing of wildlife	3	
lack of Law Enforcement		
method of propulsion	1	
noise	4	
# of parking spaces at boat launches		
operator behavior	7	
overcrowded conditions	2	
pollution:		1
boat sewage	5	5
litter	5	2
petroleum releases from engines	2	2
MtBE	2	1
other_____		
safety issues:		
age of operator	4	
alcohol consumption	5	
congestion	2	
proximity	4	
speed	3	
wake	4	

Attachment 1. Survey Form

# TECHNIQUES (TOOLS)

Directions:

**RANK:**

- 4 = very important
- 3 = important
- 2 = relatively unimportant
- 1 = unimportant
- 0 = not an issue

PLEASE ADDRESS ALL ISSUES.

**TECHNIQUES:**

- 4 = very important
- 3 = important
- 2 = relatively unimportant
- 1 = unimportant
- 0 = unsuccessful

PLEASE ADDRESS ALL TECHNIQUES

**TECHNIQUES:**

- bans on watercraft/types of engines
- boat launch closure
- cooperation with local police dept. for patrols
- exclusion zones
- horsepower restrictions
- increased law enforcement
- informational meetings
- informed consent
- method of propulsion restriction
- pay Law Enforcement overtime for specific events
- public hearings
- quiet hours/restricted speed hours
- recreational usage zones
- regatta event permits
- stakeholders groups
- speed restriction
- targeted education (may include operator etiquette)
- wake restriction
- other \_\_\_\_\_
- other \_\_\_\_\_

Is issue resolved by policy? (Y,N); if yes, include policy

Do you rely on federal initiatives for resolution? (Y,N)

other \_\_\_\_\_ (Y,N)

**RANK Issues**

- amenities at boat launches
- aquatic nuisance species
- boat engine horsepower issues
- erosion
- harassing of wildlife
- lack of Law Enforcement
- method of propulsion
- noise
- # of parking spaces at boat launches
- operator behavior
- overcrowded conditions

pollution:

- boat sewage
- litter
- petroleum releases from engines
- MtBE
- other \_\_\_\_\_

safety issues:

- age of operator
- alcohol consumption
- congestion
- proximity
- speed
- wake
- other \_\_\_\_\_